IRO Certificate #4599

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

July 15, 2003

appeal.

Re: IRO Case # M2-03-1401-01

Texas Worker's Compensation Commission:

____ has been certified as an independent review organization (IRO) and has been authorized to perform independent reviews of medical necessity for the Texas Worker's Compensation Commission (TWCC). Texas HB. 2600, Rule133.308 effective January 1, 2002, allows a claimant or provider who has received an adverse medical necessity determination from a carrier's internal process, to request an independent review by an IRO.

In accordance with the requirement that TWCC assign cases to certified IROs, TWCC assigned this case to ____ for an independent review. ____ has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. For that purpose, ____ received relevant medical records, any documents obtained from parties in making the adverse

The case was reviewed by a physician who is Board Certified in Neurological Surgery. He or she has signed a certification statement attesting that no known conflicts of interest exist between him or her and any of the treating physicians or providers, or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to referral to ____ for independent review. In addition, the certification statement further attests that the review was performed without bias for or against the carrier, medical provider, or any other party to this case.

determination, and any other documents and/or written information submitted in support of the

The determination of the ____ reviewer who reviewed this case, based on the medical records provided, is as follows:

History

The patient is a 46-year-old male who was injured on ____ when he was lifting a heavy door and developed back pain with extension into his right lower extremity. He had a history of an L5-S1 diskectomy 10 years earlier. Physical therapy and medications were of no benefit in relieving the patient's problem. An MRI on 4/21/02 showed spondylosis changes, mainly at the L5-S1 level with some S1 nerve compromise, but nothing but chronic changes of a probably non surgical nature at L3-4 and L5-S1. That is an unusual degree of concordant pain production. The appearance of the disks were abnormal in all of these areas, but that is not unusual even without concordant pain. Neurologic examination has failed to reveal any objective findings, and the subjective findings suggest malingering.

Requested Service(s)

Three level fusion with instrumentation combined with an anterior interbody fusion one week later.

Decision

I agree with the carrier's decision to deny the requested treatment.

Rationale

The rather extensive surgery proposed of both posterior and anterior surgery with fusion and instrumentation is associated with increased complication rates, and with nothing to suggest a distinct area of correctable symptom-producing pathology such a procedure, in my opinion, is not indicated.

This medical necessity decision by an Independent Review Organization is deemed to be a Commission decision and order

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within 10 (ten) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5(d). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Worker's Compensation Commission, P O Box 40669, Austin, TX 78704-0012. A copy of this decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing this decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute (Commission Rule 133.308(t)(2)).

omer parm	es mivorved in the	dispute (Commission	(t)(2)	<i>,</i> .	
Sincerely,					

In accordance with Commission Rule 102.4 (b), I hereby certify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) decision was sent to the carrier and the requestor or claimant via facsimile or US Postal Service from the office of the IRO on this 21st day of July 2003.